The Oxidation of Terminal Olefins to Methyl Ketones by Jones Reagent Is Catalyzed by Mercury(II)1
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The oxidation of terminal olefins by Jones reagent in the presence of a catalytic quantity of mercury(II) affords good yields (>70%) of the corresponding methyl ketones. Similar oxidations of 1,2-disubstituted olefins gives fair (20–70%) yields; in the case of unsymmetrically substituted olefins, mixtures of ketones are produced.

The Wacker process for oxidation of olefins to ketones has three mechanistically distinct parts:3 first, activation of the olefinic double bond toward nucleophilic attack by coordination with Pd(II) and addition of a hydroxide moiety to this electrophilic double bond; second, conversion of the resulting 2-hydroxyethylpalladium(II) compound to ketone and a (formally) Pd(0) atom by a series of palladium(II) hydride addition–eliminations involving vinylic alcohol intermediates; third, reoxidation of the palladium(0) to palladium(II) by copper(II). Wacker oxidation is an extremely useful and general reaction. It is, nonetheless, worthwhile to try to develop procedures for oxidizing olefins that use as catalysts metals less expensive than palladium, and which involve reactions (and possibly generate products) different from those of the Wacker oxidation. Mercury(II) is an obvious candidate for the catalyst for new oxidation reactions: it resembles palladium(II) in its ability to activate olefins for nucleophilic attack,4 but differs in that decomposition of the oxymercuration products normally generates cations by loss of mercury(0) rather than olefins by loss of mercury hydride.5 Unfortunately, neither we nor others6 have been able to discover a satisfactory solution to the principal problem in developing a mercury(II)-catalyzed analog of the Wacker oxidation: viz., an efficient regeneration of mercury(II) from mercury(0). In the absence of a solution to this problem, there are, however, ways of involving mercury(II) in catalytic oxidation of olefins other than in a direct analog of a Wacker oxidation.
One, explored in this paper, utilizes mercury(II) in oxygenmercury of an olefin, oxidizes the hydroxyl moiety of the resulting 2-hydroxyalkylmercury(II) derivative, and regenerates this substance (eq 1). Thus, the mercury(II) performs the essential function of olefin activation, but is regenerated without leaving the mercury(II) oxidation level. This cycle is, in a sense, one in which mercury(II) catalyzes the hydration of the double bond, and in which the reaction is driven in the direction of the thermodynamically less stable hydrated form by trapping this form by oxidation to ketone.

**Results and Discussion**

Jones reagent (CrO₃·H₂SO₄·H₂O) oxidizes alcohols to ketones efficiently, and is relatively unreactive toward olefins. When Jones reagent is added to an acetone solution of an olefin at 20°, a slow, nonselective oxidation takes place. Addition of mercuric acetate or mercuric propionate to methyl ketones in yields of 80-90% (Table I); 1,2-disubstituted olefins react readily, but give low yields of ketones under these conditions. The yield of methyl ketones resulting from the catalyzed oxidation of terminal olefins is relatively insensitive to the amount of mercuric salt added (Figure 1). The catalyzed oxidation of terminal olefins by sodium dichromate-trifluoroacetic acid solution showed similar insensitivity to the amount of mercuric salt added; the yields were, however, substantially lower than those obtained using Jones reagent (Figure 1). Note that the plots in Figure 1 are based on data collected under roughly comparable conditions, but that these conditions are not necessarily those that generated the highest yield of product. In particular, in plot A of Figure 1, the maximum detected yield of 2-octanone was approximately 50%, while the best yield isolated under optimized synthetic conditions was 82% (see the Experimental Section for details). The major function of the plots in Figure 1 is to establish the relative sensitivities of primary and secondary olefins to catalysis by mercury(II) and to provide a qualitative estimation of the absolute activity of mercury(II) as a catalyst in reactions based on Jones reagent and dichromate ion as oxidants.

The yield of ketones from 1,2-disubstituted olefins can be improved using different reaction conditions (Table II); however, each 1,2-disubstituted olefin required different optimum conditions. Mercuric acetate oxygenmercurates olefins efficiently in aqueous tetrahydrofuran or dichromate and these solvents also proved useful as oxidation media. Experiments in these solvents were carried out using sodium dichromate as oxidant, and making the solution acidic with trifluoroacetic acid. The yields of ketones from the oxidation of 1,2-disubstituted olefins were significantly poorer than those obtained using sodium dichromate or Jones reagent (Figure 1), however, each 1,2-disubstituted olefin required different optimum conditions. Mercuric acetate oxygenmercurates olefins efficiently in aqueous tetrahydrofuran or dichromate and these solvents also proved useful as oxidation media. Experiments in these solvents were carried out using sodium dichromate as oxidant, and making the solution acidic with trifluoroacetic acid. The yields of ketones from the oxidation of 1,2-disubstituted olefins were significantly poorer than those obtained using sodium dichromate or Jones reagent (Figure 1), however, each 1,2-disubstituted olefin required different optimum conditions. Mercaceta acetate oxygenmercurates olefins efficiently in aqueous tetrahydrofuran or dichromate and these solvents also proved useful as oxidation media. Experiments in these solvents were carried out using sodium dichromate as oxidant, and making the solution acidic with trifluoroacetic acid. The yields of ketones from the oxidation of 1,2-disubstituted olefins were significantly poorer than those obtained using sodium dichromate or Jones reagent (Figure 1), however, each 1,2-disubstituted olefin required different optimum conditions. Mercuric acetate oxygenmercurates olefins efficiently in aqueous tetrahydrofuran or dichromate and these solvents also proved useful as oxidation media. Experiments in these solvents were carried out using sodium dichromate as oxidant, and making the solution acidic with trifluoroacetic acid. The yields of ketones from the oxidation of 1,2-disubstituted olefins were significantly poorer than those obtained using sodium dichromate or Jones reagent (Figure 1), however, each 1,2-disubstituted olefin required different optimum conditions.
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**Figure 1.** The yield of ketones from 1,2-disubstituted olefins can be improved using different reaction conditions (Table II); however, each 1,2-disubstituted olefin required different optimum conditions. Mercuric acetate oxygenmercurates olefins efficiently in aqueous tetrahydrofuran or dichromate and these solvents also proved useful as oxidation media. Experiments in these solvents were carried out using sodium dichromate as oxidant, and making the solution acidic with trifluoroacetic acid. The yields of ketones from the oxidation of 1,2-disubstituted olefins were significantly poorer than those obtained using sodium dichromate or Jones reagent, hydrogen peroxide, or hypochlorite ion were used as oxidants. Yields were also poorer when mercury(II) chloride, nitrate, and tosylate were used in place of mercury(II) propionate.

Several metal ions other than mercury(II) were explored briefly, and found to be unsatisfactory as catalysts. No reaction took place on treating 2-octene with sodium dichromate–trifluoroacetic acid solution in the presence of thalli-
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Table II
Oxidation of 1,2-Disubstituted Olefins by Sodium Dichromate-Trifluoroacetic Acid Solution Catalyzed by Mercury(II)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Olefin</th>
<th>Registry no.</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Registry no.</th>
<th>Isolated yield, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cis-2-Octene</td>
<td>7642-04-8</td>
<td>2-Octanone (64%) +</td>
<td>106-68-3</td>
<td>56^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-octanone (36%) +</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-2-Octene</td>
<td>13389-42-9</td>
<td>2-Octanone (63%) +</td>
<td>108-94-1</td>
<td>41^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-octanone (37%) +</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexene</td>
<td>110-83-8</td>
<td>Cyclohexanone</td>
<td>830-13-7</td>
<td>36^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclocododecene</td>
<td>1501-82-2</td>
<td>Cyclocododecanone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norbornene</td>
<td>498-66-8</td>
<td>Norcamphor</td>
<td>497-38-1</td>
<td>20^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A^\Delta^2-2-Cholestene</td>
<td>15910-23-3</td>
<td>No reaction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^ Oxidation was carried out in the presence of 0.2 equiv of mercuric propionate. ^ Oxidation was carried out in the presence of 0.5 equiv of mercuric propionate. ^ A mixture of cis and trans isomers. ^ The product was isolated as the 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone.

um(I), suggesting that olefin activation was slow. Similar treatment of 2-octene in the presence of gold(III), palladium(II), and rhodium(III) afforded mixtures of 2- and 3-octanone in yields of 30, 10, and 2%, respectively. Gold(0) and palladium(0) deposited on the walls of the reaction vessel in substantial amounts during the oxidation.

Evidence for the mechanism of the mercury(II)-catalyzed oxidations (eq 1) is inferential. Treatment of cyclohexene with aqueous mercury(II) acetate gives trans-2-hydroxycyclohexylmercuric acetate\(^9\) (1), which is oxidized to cyclohexanone in yields very similar to those obtained from cyclohexene under the same reaction conditions. Similarly, 2-chlorocyclohexanone (2) is converted rapidly and in high yield to cyclohexanone by aqueous sulfuric acid or trifluoroacetic acid. Compound 1 is itself relatively stable toward the acid conditions encountered in the oxidation. Oxidation products arising directly from the \(\beta\)-hydroxyalkylmercury(II) cation therefore seem unlikely. Mercury(0) has been observed to form in small amounts only at elevated temperatures; no Hg(0) was observed under the conditions described. The \(\beta\)-hydroxymercury(II) cations give the best yields. Qualitative evidence described above suggests that these transformations occur by the sequence of reactions outlined in eq 1. This oxidation provides a useful alternative to several of the procedures presently used to convert olefins to ketones. It is less complex than Wacker oxidation: the problems that arise in applying Wacker oxidation to high molecular weight, water-insoluble substances do not seem to be important, and it is unnecessary to have present the large excess of copper salts normally used to make the Wacker oxidation catalytic. It can be applied to unprotected olefinic carboxylic acids, where diborane–chromic acid results in destruction of the carboxylic acid moiety. It is more direct and more economical than the several procedures (oxymercuration–reduction, epoxidation–reduction) that generate an alcohol preliminary to a Jones oxidation.

Experimental Section

General. Melting points, determined on a Thomas-Hoover capillary melting point apparatus, are not corrected. GLC analysis was performed using a 10 ft \(\times\) 0.125 in. 15% Carbowax 20M column on a F & M Model 810 gas chromatograph equipped with a hydrogen flame detector and a Hewlett-Packard Model 3373B electronic integrator. All solvents were reagent grade and were used without further purification. 1-Octene, 2-octene (a mixture of cis and trans isomers), cis-2-octene, and trans-2-octene (Chemical Samples Co.) were used as supplied. Norbornene, cyclohexene, styrene, cyclo-

Conclusions

Jones reagent or trifluoroacetic acid–sodium dichromate solution oxidizes olefins to ketones in the presence of catalytic quantities of mercury(II); of the various metals tried as catalysts for the oxidation—thallium(I), gold(III), palladium(II), rhodium(III), and mercury(II)—mercury(II) gives the best yields. Qualitative evidence described above suggests that these transformations occur by the sequence of reactions outlined in eq 1. This oxidation provides a useful alternative to several of the procedures presently used to convert olefins to ketones. It is less complex than Wacker oxidation: the problems that arise in applying Wacker oxidation to high molecular weight, water-insoluble substances do not seem to be important, and it is unnecessary to have present the large excess of copper salts normally used to make the Wacker oxidation catalytic. It can be applied to unprotected olefinic carboxylic acids, where diborane–chronic acid results in destruction of the carboxylic acid moiety. It is more direct and more economical than the several procedures (oxymercuration–reduction, epoxidation–reduction) that generate an alcohol preliminary to a Jones oxidation.
decene (a mixture of cis and trans isomers), 3,3-dimethyl-1-butene, and undecylenic acid (Aldrich) were used as supplied. Mercuric acetate and sodium dichromate dihydrate (Mallinkrodt), trifluoroacetic acid (Matheson Coleman and Bell), gold(III) chloride, palladium(II) chloride, and thallium(I) acetate (Fisher Scientific), and rhodium(III) chloride (Alfa) were used without further purification. Elemental analyses were performed by Robertson Laboratory, Florham Park, N.J.

**Mercuric Propionate.** Red mercuric oxide (108 g) was added in 10-g portions to 100 ml of hot propionic acid. The oxide dissolved, giving a slightly yellowish solution which was filtered and allowed to cool to room temperature. The resulting crystals were recrystallized from propionic acid, washed with cold, dry acetone, and dried under vacuum (0.04 mm) at room temperature for 24 hr. The yield of product was 168.7 g (97%) as white needles having mp 114-116°.

**General Procedure for the Mercury(II)-Catalyzed Oxidation of Olefins. Method A.** To a 500-ml erlenmeyer flask was added 9.20 g (74 mmol) of sodium dichromate dihydrate and 50 ml of water, and 300 ml of dioxane. With stirring, 6.8 g (20 mmol) of mercuric propionate and 35 ml of trifluoroacetic acid were added. The dark orange-red solution was stirred until the salts had dissolved (ca 10 min), and the flask was placed in a water bath. With continued stirring, 100 mmol of olefin was added. The solution became dark and warm; ice was added as necessary to maintain the temperature at 25 ± 5°. The solution was stirred for 18 hr, poured into water (300 ml), and extracted with hexane (3 x 50 ml). The combined extracts were washed with water (3 x 50 ml), saturated sodium chloride solution (1 x 50 ml), and water (1 x 50 ml) and dried (MgSO₄).

**General Procedure for the Mercury(II)-Catalyzed Oxidation of Olefins. Method B.** To a 500-ml erlenmeyer flask was added 22.0 g (74 mmol) of sodium dichromate dihydrate, 300 ml of dioxane, and 50 ml of water. With stirring, 6.8 g (20 mmol) of mercuric propionate and 35 ml of trifluoroacetic acid were added. The dark orange-red solution was stirred until the salts had dissolved (ca 10 min), and the flask was placed in a water bath. With continued stirring, 100 mmol of olefin was added. The solution became dark and warm; ice was added as necessary to maintain the temperature at 25 ± 5°. The solution was stirred for 18 hr, poured into water (300 ml), and extracted with hexane (3 x 50 ml). The combined extracts were washed with water (3 x 50 ml), saturated sodium chloride solution (1 x 50 ml), and water (1 x 50 ml) and dried (MgSO₄).

Ice was added as necessary to maintain the temperature at 25 ± 5°. The dark greenish-brown solution was stirred for an additional 4 hr and then poured into water (200 ml) and extracted with diethyl ether (3 x 75 ml). The combined extracts were washed with water (3 x 50 ml), saturated sodium chloride solution (1 x 50 ml), and water (1 x 50 ml) and dried (MgSO₄).
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