Supporting Information for

Using Covalent Dimers of Human Carbonic Anhydrase II as a Model for Bivalency in Immunoglubulins

Eric T. Mack, Phillip W. Snyder, Raquel Perez-Castillejos, and George M. Whitesides*

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University

12 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138

* Correspondence: gwhitesides@gmwgroup.harvard.edu

Table of Contents

Calculation of the number of ligands accessible to (CA) ₂ 's on the surface	pg 2
Derivation of equation 13	pg 2
Scheme S1	pg 4

Calculation of the number of ligands accessible to (CA)₂'s on the surface.

The maximum number of ligands accessible to the binding sites of $(CA)_2$ is the number of ligands found in a circle of the SAM with a radius equal to the distance between the binding sites of $(CA)_2$. The minimum distance between the binding sites of $(CA)_2$ (4.2 nm) is twice the radius of CA (2.1 nm). The number of lattice sites, *n*, covered by a molecule of radius *r*, adsorbed on a hexagonal lattice characterized by a lattice vector of length *a*, is given by eq S1 in which $k = r_{\text{protein}} / a$. For $(CA)_2$ adsorbed on the SAM having lattice sites arranged on a hexagonal lattice, a = 0.5 nm and $r_{\text{protein}} = 4.2$ nm (i.e., k = 4.2 nm / 0.5 nm = 8.4) yield $n \sim 238$ (eq S1).¹

$$n = 3k(k+1) + 1$$
 (S1)

Roughy 5 are the ligands accessible to $(CA)_2$, which results from multiplying n by the mole fraction that is, 238 lattice sites per CA dimer * 0.02 ligands per lattice site ~ 5 ligands per CA dimer.

(1) Stankowski, S. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1984, 777, 167-182.



The rate of dissociation of $(CA)_2$ from the SAM is described by three differential equations (eq S2-S4).

$$\frac{d[L^* \cdot (CA)_2 \cdot L^*]}{dt} = -2k_{\text{off}} \cup \sup [L^* \cdot (CA)_2 \cdot L^*] + k_{\text{on}} \cup \sup [(CA)_2 \cdot L^*]$$
(S2)

$$\frac{d[(CA)_2 \cdot L^*]}{dt} = 2k_{off} \vee urf [L^* \cdot (CA)_2 \cdot L^*] - k_{on} \vee urf [(CA)_2 \cdot L^*] - k_{off} \vee urf [(CA)_2 \cdot L^*]$$
(S3)

$$\frac{d[(CA)_2]}{dt} = k_{off} [(CA)_2 \cdot L^*]$$
(S4)

Application of the steady state approximation to $[CA_2 \cdot L^*]$.

$$\frac{d[(CA)_2 \cdot L^*]}{dt} = 0$$
(S5)

Then solving equation S3 for $[CA_2 \cdot L^*]$

$$[(CA)_2 \cdot L^*]^* = \frac{2k_{off} \cdot surf}{k_{off} \cdot surf} \frac{[L^* \cdot (CA)_2 \cdot L^*]}{k_{off} \cdot surf}$$
(S6)

The signal in response units (RU) is proportional to concentration of (CA)₂ in solution.

$$\frac{dRU}{dt} = -\frac{d[(CA)_2]}{dt} = -k_{off} \cdot surf [(CA)_2 \cdot L^*]$$
(S7)

Substitution of equation S6 into S7 yields equation S8.

$$-\frac{d[(CA)_{2}]}{dt} = -k_{off} \cdot \frac{2k_{off} \cdot \frac{surf}{surf} \left[L^{*} \cdot (CA)_{2} \cdot L^{*}\right]}{k_{off} \cdot \frac{surf}{surf} + k_{off} \cdot \frac{surf}{surf}}$$
(S8)

The observed rate constant $k_{off}^{avidity,surf}$ is equal to function of rate constants in S8 (eq S9).

$$k_{\text{off}}^{\text{avidity,surf}} = \frac{k_{\text{off}}^{\text{isurf}} 2 k_{\text{off}}^{\text{isurf}}}{k_{\text{off}}^{\text{isurf}} + k_{\text{off}}^{\text{isurf}}}$$
(S9)

When $k_{on}''^{surf} >> k_{off}'^{surf}$ the denominator becomes $k_{on}''^{surf}$. Replacing the ratio of $k_{off}'^{surf}$ to $k_{on}''^{surf}$ by $K_{d}''^{surf}$ according to the definition $K_{d} = k_{off} / k_{on}$ yields eq S10, which corresponds to equation 13 of the main text.

$$k_{\rm off}^{\rm avidity, surf} \approx 2 K_{\rm d}^{\rm usurf} k_{\rm off}^{\rm usurf}$$
(S10)

Scheme S1. Synthesis of $(CA)_2$'s from double mutants of HCAII and commercially available thiolselective cross-linkers. A solution of HCAII double mutant in 10mM phosphate buffer at pH 7.4 was treated with aliquots of a solution of crosslinker in DMF.

