Reprinted from the Journal of the American Chemical Society, 1987, 109, 1283.

Copyright © 1987 by the American Chemical Society and reprinted by permission of the copyright owner.

Membrane-Enclosed Enzymatic Catalysis (MEEC): A Useful, Practical New Method for the Manipulation of Enzymes in Organic Synthesis¹

Mark D. Bednarski,² H. Keith Chenault, Ethan S. Simon, and George M. Whitesides*

Department of Chemistry, Harvard University Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Received November 3, 1986

We wish to describe a useful technique for the efficient manipulation of enzymes in organic synthesis,³ in which the enzyme in soluble form is enclosed in commercially available dialysis membranes. We have tested this technique (membrane-enclosed enzymatic catalysis, MEEC) in a number of representative enzyme-catalyzed reactions (Scheme I)⁴⁻¹² and have found that it combines the simplicity of use of soluble enzymes with certain of the advantages of immobilized enzymes. This technique may not be applicable to all enzymes, but it provides the simplest and most effective methods of using many of them in organic synthetic applications.

Enzyme-catalyzed reactions can use either soluble or immobilized enzymes. ^{13,14} Procedures based on soluble enzymes are, in general, more convenient than those using immobilized enzymes, but the enzymes are not easily recovered for reuse, and their lifetime may be shortened by shear or interfacial deactivation. Immobilization allows the separation and reuse of enzymes and often protects them from deactivation by organic cosolvents, shear,

interfacial adsorption, and proteases, 15,16 but it is experimentally inconvenient and even under ideal conditions can cause significant deactivation of sensitive proteins.

(1) Supported by NIH Grant GM 30367.

(2) American Cancer Society Postdoctoral Fellow, Grant PF-2762, 1986. (3) Reviews: Whitesides, G. M.; Wong, C.-H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 617-638. Jones, J. B. Tetrahedron 1986, 42, 3351. Enzymes in Organic Synthesis. Ciba Foundation Symposium 111; Porter, R., Clark, S., Eds. Pitman: London, 1985.

(4) Auge, C.; David, S.; Gautheron, C.; Veyrieres, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 2439-2440. For a recent chemical synthesis of NANA, see: Danishefsky, S. J.; DeNinno, M. P. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 2615. (5) Ray, P. H. J. Bacteriol. 1980, 193, 635-644. For a recent chemical

(5) Ray, P. H. J. Bacteriol. 1980, 193, 635-644. For a recent chemical synthesis of KSO, see: Danishefsky, S. J.; Pearson, W. H.; Segmuller, B. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 1280-1285.

(6) Wong, C.-H.; Mazenod, F. P.; Whitesides, G. M. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 3199. Waldmann, H.; Bischofberger, N.; Bednarski, M.; Saito, T.; Whitesides, G. M., unpublished results.

(7) Hirschbein, B. L.; Whitesides, G. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4458-4460.

(8) Jakovac, I. J.; Goodbrand, H. B.; Lok, K. P.; Jones, J. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4659-4665.

(9) Hirschbein, B. L.; Mazenod, F. P.; Whitesides, G. M. J. Org. Soc. 1982, 47, 3765-3766.

(10) The absolute configuration of the products is based on analogy with the previously determined stereoselectivity of acylase I (Greenstein, J. P.; Winitz, M. Chemistry of the Amino Acids; Wiley: New York, 1961; Vol. 2, pp 1753-1767).

(11) Ladner, W. E.; Whitesides, G. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 7250-7251.

(12) Sabesan, S.; Paulson, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 2068-2080. (13) Chibata, I. Immobilized Enzymes—Research and Development; Halsted: New York, 1978.

(14) Chibata, I.; Tosa, T.; Sato, T. J. Mol. Catal. 1986, 37, 1-24.
(15) Pollak, A.; Blumenfeld, H.; Wax, M.; Baughn, R. L.; Whitesides, G. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6324-6336.

(16) Klibanov, A. M. Science (Washington, D.C.) 1983, 219, 722-727.

0002-7863/87/1509-1283\$01.50/0 © 1987 American Chemical Society

Scheme I. Reactions Tested by Membrane-Enclosed Enzymatic Catalysis (MEEC)^a

N-Acetylneuraminate pyruvate-lyase (NANA-aldolase; E.C. 4.1.3.3);4 6.4 mmol:

3-Deoxy-D-manno-octulosonate-8-phosphate synthetase (KDOS; E.C. 4.1.2.16);⁵ 7.8 mmol:

POOH OH OH OH OH OH OH OH CO₂H (2) Acid Phosphatase (E.C. 3.1.3.2)
$$\stackrel{\text{HO}}{\longrightarrow}$$
 $\stackrel{\text{OH}}{\longrightarrow}$ \stackrel

Fructose-1,6-diphosphate aldolase (FDP-aldolase; E.C.4.1.2.13);6 7.2 mmol:

HO OP + H
$$\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\underset{Ph}{\longleftarrow}}$$
 $\stackrel{\text{Me}}{\underset{Ph}{\longleftarrow}}$ $\stackrel{1) FDP-Aldolase/DMSO-H_2O}{2) Acid Phosphatase (E.C. 3.1.3.2)}$ $\stackrel{\text{Me}}{\underset{Ph}{\longleftarrow}}$ $\stackrel{\text{H. }}{\underset{Ph}{\longleftarrow}}$ OH (3)

D-Lactate dehydrogenase (D-LDH; E.C. 1.1.1.28), Formate dehydrogenase (FDH; E.C. 1.2.1.2);⁷ 6.4 mmol:

Horse liver alcohol dehydrogenase (HLADH; E.C.1.1.1.1), L-Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH; 1.1.1.27);8 30 mmol:

Hexokinase (HK; E.C. 2.7.1.1); Pyruvate kinase (PK; E.C. 2.7.1.40); 4 mmol;

Acylase I (E.C. 3.5.1.14);10 6 mmol L-amino acid, 10 mmol D-acylamino acid:

Lipase (E.C. 3.1.1.3);11 17 mmol ester:

 α 2,6Sialyltransferase (α 2,6ST; E.C. 2.4.99.1); 12 0.03 mmol:

CMP-NeuAc + N-acetyllactosamine
$$\frac{\alpha 2.6ST}{}$$
 α DNeuAc(2.6) β DGal(1,4)DGlcNAc (9)

The quantity of product isolated in each reaction is given as a measure of the scale on which the reaction was carried out.

with water and submerged in the aqueous mixture; the reaction

was stirred gently. NAD (0.060 mmol) was added, and 500 mL

of hexane covered the aqueous layer. The enzyme-containing bag

did not contact the hexane layer. Progress of the reaction was

monitored by assaying the aqueous phase for pyruvate.21 After

32 h, the reaction was 98% complete. The hexane layer was

removed by forced siphon with a cannula, and the aqueous phase

was decanted. The dialysis bag was rinsed with water, and the

washings were added to the aqueous layer. The enzyme bag could

be prepared for reuse by dialyzing against buffer and was stored

at 4 °C under buffer. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether.

The ether and hexane layers were combined, dried overnight over

CaCl2, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue

distilled through a short-path distillation head and gave 2 as a

colorless oil (4.2 g, 88%): bp 59–61 °C (0.2 torr) lit.8 bp 86 °C (2 torr)); $\alpha^{23}_{\rm D}$ +43.8° (neat) (lit.22 $\alpha^{23}_{\rm D}$ +43.8° (neat)); ¹H NMR

(250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.1-2.6 (m, 10 H), 3.90 (dd, J_{gem} 18.8, J_{vic}

1.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.15 (dd, J_{gem} 8.8, J_{vic} 5.0 Hz, 1 H); IR (neat) 1770

(C=O) cm⁻¹. All spectral data were in agreement with those in

solid or gel supports is that it is operationally the much simpler

and more convenient technique, it makes it possible to obtain high

volume activities of enzyme in the reaction vessel,23 it eliminates

loss in enzymatic activity on immobilization, and it is applicable

to crude enzyme preparations.²³ Its disadvantages are that reaction

rates may be slow in circumstances in which mass transport across

the membrane is rate-limiting and that enzyme deactivation due

The major advantages of MEEC relative to immobilization to

the literature.8

We reasoned that dialysis membranes 17,18 could be used to contain and, perhaps, to stabilize enzymes during use in organic synthesis. Containment would permit the separation of protein from the reaction medium. In all of the cases studied (Scheme I), separation of the products from the protein was straightforward and recovery of enzymatic activity was high. In the reactions summarized by eq 1, 3, 4, and 9, we demonstrated that the membrane-enclosed enzymes could be stored at 4 °C and reused for further catalysis. In these systems, the stabilities of the membrane-enclosed enzymes equalled or exceeded those of enzymes immobilized in PAN15 gel. Confinement of soluble lactate dehydrogenase in dialysis tubing (eq 5) prevented its contact with an immiscible organic phase (hexane) and protected it from deactivation. Such protection of soluble enzymes from interfacial deactivation in two-phase water-organic systems should be general. The MEEC technique was compatible with the presence of a miscible organic cosolvent (Me₂SO, eq 3) and with crude enzyme preparations (eq 2 and 8). Regeneration of nicotinamide (eq 4 and 5)19 and nucleoside triphosphate (eq 6)20 cofactors was also possible by using this technique. MEEC was less effective with lipase (eq 8): the reaction proceeded at one-fifth of the rate expected on the basis of the usual emulsion-based procedures.11 Lipases require a water-organic interface for activity, and we presume that the MEEC technique limits the interfacial area.

As an example of the method, we describe the oxidation of cis-1,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)cyclohexane (1) to (+)-(1R, 6S)-cis-8-oxabicyclo[4.3.0]nonan-7-one (2) (eq 5).8 A 1-L polyethylene bottle was charged with 1 (98%, 5.00 g, 34.0 mmol), sodium pyruvate (8.3 g, 75.0 mmol), Gly-Gly buffer (11 mL of 0.1 M solution), and 300 mL of water. A few drops of 4 N NaOH adjusted the pH of the mixture to pH 8.0. A 4-in. length of cellulose acetate dialysis tubing (Spectrapor 2, Spectrum Medical Industries, MW cutoff 12000-14000, 25-mm width) was washed with distilled water, and one end was tied shut. Horse liver alcohol dehydrogenase (250 mg, 350 units) and rabbit muscle lactate dehydrogenase (0.17 mL of a suspension in 2.1 M (NH₄)₂SO₄, 2 mg of protein, 800 units) were transferred in 4 mL of 0.1 M Gly-Gly buffer, pH 8.0, into the dialysis tubing. The open end of the tubing was tied shut with a string. The enzyme-containing bag was secured to a magnetic stir bar with a rubber band, washed

to protease contaminants is not prevented (although adding bovine serum albumin within the membrane seems to stabilize some enzymes). For most applications in organic synthesis, the advantages outweigh the disadvantages.

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. Robert DiCosimo (Sohio) for help in the isolation of KDO synthetase.

Registry No. 1, 15753-50-1; 2, 65376-02-5; alcohol dehydrogenase, 9031-72-5; lactate dehydrogenase, 9001-60-9.

(18) Wichmann, R.; Wandrey, C.; Bückmann, A. F.; Kula, M.-R. Biotech. Bioeng. 1981, 23, 2789-2802. Davies, P.; Moxbach, K. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1974, 370, 329-338.

(22) Lee, L. G.; Whitesides, G. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 6999-7008.

⁽¹⁷⁾ For reviews of dialysis membranes, see: Pusch, W.; Walch, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 660-685. Kesting, R. E. Synthetic Polymer Membranes; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1971.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Chenault, H. K.; Whitesides, G. M. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol., in

⁽²⁰⁾ Crans, D. C.; Kazlauskas, R. J.; Hirschbein, B. L.; Wong, C.-H.; Abril, O.; Whitesides, G. M. Methods Enzymol., in press.

⁽²¹⁾ Lamprecht, W.; Heinz, F. In Methods of Enzymatic Analysis, 3rd ed.; Bergmeyer, H. U., Bergmeyer, J., Grassl, M., Eds.; Verlag Chemie: Weinheim, Germany, 1984; Vol. 6, pp 570-577.

⁽²³⁾ Because the weight of enzyme that can be covalently immobilized on most supports or gels is limited, it is difficult to obtain high volume catalytic activities unless the enzyme preparation itself has high specific activity. These types of immobilization procedures are thus much less convenient than MEEC for enzymes for intrinsically low specific activities and for crude enzyme preparations.