[Reprinted from the Journal of the American Chemical Society, 85, 2665 (1963).]

Relative Signs of the ³¹P–¹H and ³¹P–C–¹H Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Coupling Constants

By George M. Whitesides, Jesse L. Beauchamp, and John D. Roberts

[Reprinted from the Journal of the American Chemical Society, 85, 2665 (1963).] Copyright 1963 by the American Chemical Society and reprinted by permission of the copyright owner.

Relative Signs of the ³¹P-¹H and ³¹P-C-¹H Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Coupling Constants¹

Sir:

The possibility that terms other than Fermi contact interaction make significant contributions to nuclear spin-spin coupling with heavy nuclei has stimulated considerable interest in the n.m.r. spectra of compounds containing heteroatoms. In particular, relative signs and magnitudes of $J_{\rm XCH}$ and $J_{\rm XCCH}$ have been reported for ethyl derivatives of several heavy isotopes of spin $^{1/2.^{2}}$ In order to understand better the factors responsible for spin coupling with a representative heavy nucleus, we have analyzed the spectra of (CH₃)₃P, (CH₃)₂PH, and (CH₃)PH₂ and have obtained relative signs and magnitudes of $J_{\rm PH}$ and $J_{\rm PCH}$.

The proton spectrum of methylphosphine (Fig. 1) may be treated as the superposition of an A_3B_2 and an A_3X_2 spectrum, using the method of effective chemical shifts.² Inspection of the spectrum suggests that the



Fis. 1.—Observed (upper) and calculated (lower) proton n.m.r. spectra of methylphosphine at 60 Mc.p.s. The spectral parameters used in the calculated spectrum are given in Table I.

(1) Supported in part by the Office of Naval Research and the National Science Foundation.

(2) For a summary and references, see G. Klose, Ann. Physik, 9, 262 (1962); P. T. Narasimhan and M. T. Rogers. J. Chem. Phys., 34, 1049 (1961). methyl region can be separated into a triplet at lower field and a more complicated group of lines at higher field. Association of the triplet with the simpler half of the P-H spectrum, also occurring as the lower field part, immediately suggests that J_{PH} and J_{PCH} have the same sign. The spectrum observed for CH₃PH₂ and the spectrum calculated using the parameters in the table are shown in Fig. 1. In both methyl- and dimethylphosphine J_{PH} and J_{PCH} have the same sign; double-resonance experiments performed by Drs. G. Juvinall and S. L. Manatt of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory confirm this conclusion.

TABLE I^{a}

CHEMICAL SHIFTS AND COUPLING CONSTANTS FOR PHOSPHINE DERIVATIVES

Compound	$J_{\rm PH}$	$J_{\rm PCH}$	$J_{\rm HCPH}$	۳H	VCH3
PH_3^{-b}	182.2				
CH_3PH_2	± 186.4	± 4.1	8.2	157.7	5 8.5
$(CH_3)_2PH$	± 191.6	± 3.6	7.7	187.7	63.8
$(CH_3)_5P$		2.7			56.3

^a Chemical shifts and coupling constants were moderately temperature dependent; the values reported are for 33°. Chemical shifts are given in c.p.s. at 60 Mc.p.s. from tetramethylsilane as internal standard. ^b R. M. Lynden-Bell, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, 57, 888 (1961).

Anet³ has recently demonstrated by double-resonance experiments that J^{13}_{CH} and J_{HCH} have opposite sign in $H_2D^{13}COH$; Lynden-Bell and Sheppard⁴ have reached the same conclusion for J^{13}_{CH} and J^{13}_{CCH} in $H_3^{-13}CH_3$ by analysis of the high resolution spectrum.⁴ In contrast, J_{CH} and J_{PCH} have the same sign. Theoretical treatments have indicated that contact interaction will dominate the coupling of directly bonded atoms⁵; more approximate treatments of HCH⁶ and ¹³CCH⁷ lead to the same conclusion.

The observed difference in relative sign of $J_{\rm XH}$ and $J_{\rm XCH}$ for X = ¹H, ¹³C and for X = ³¹P suggests that some mechanism other than the usual σ -bond interactions contribute to spin-spin coupling with phosphorus. Spin-orbital and electron-dipole interaction would be expected to be relatively more important in

(3) F. A. L. Anet, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84, 3767 (1962).

(4) R. M. Lynden-Bell and N. Sheppard, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London), **A269**, 385 (1962).

(5) M. Karplus and D. M. Grant, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S., 45, 1269
(1959); N. Muller and D. E. Pritchard, J. Chem. Phys., 31, 768, 1471 (1959);
J. N. Shoolery, ibid., 31, 1421 (1959).

(6) H. S. Gutowsky, M. Karplus, and D. M. Grant, J. Chem. Phys., 31, 1278 (1959), and references therein.

(7) G. T. Karabatsos, J. D. Graham, and F. Vane, J. Phys. Chem., 65, 1657 (1961).

ł

coupling to ³¹P than to ¹H or ¹³C due both to the greater anisotropy of the screening around phosphorus⁸ and to the relatively small degree of s-character of its bonding orbitals.⁹ However, Klose has been able to justify the spectrum of $(CH_3CH_2)_3P$ semiquantitatively by a valence-bond treatment using only contact interactions.² Alternately, the d orbitals and nonbonding electrons of phosphorus may be involved in coupling through structures of the "ylene" type (I).¹⁰ Spin-orbital or magnetic-dipole interactions might give



(8) J. A. Pople, Mol. Phys., 1, 216 (1958).

666

(9) The bond angles in methylphosphine suggest that the phosphorus bonding orbitals in this compound have predominantly p-character: \angle CPH = 97°30', \angle HPH = 93°23'; see E. L. Breig and C. C. Liu, J. Chem. Phys., **35**, 2139 (1961).

(10) This structure is analogous to the hyperconjugative structures used to explain long range coupling in hydrocarbons. Although unimportant in determining the total energy of the molecule, such structures may be very important in spin-spin coupling. See R. A. Hoffman and S. Gronowitz, *Arkiv. Kemi.*, **16**, 471 (1960).

 J_{PCH} the observed sign; important contributions from I certainly would. It is not possible to decide which factor is most important on the basis of available experimental evidence.

It is unfortunately not possible to assign an absolute sign to J_{PH} , although such an assignment would be of considerable interest. Recent calculations for several paramagnetic transition metal ions indicate that the contributions of a spin-polarized 3s orbital to the contact term may be opposite in sign to that of a ls or 2s orbital¹¹; this result suggests that J_{PH} may be either positive or negative, depending upon the relative contributions of the ls. 2s. and 3s electrons to the coupling

tributions of the 1s, 2s, and 3s electrons to the coupling. Acknowledgment.—We are deeply indebted to Dr. F. C. Caserio, Jr., for the phosphine samples used in this research.

(11) V. Heine, Phys. Rev., 107, 1002 (1957); R. E. Watson and A. J. Freeman, ibid., 120, 1125, 1134 (1960).

(12) National Science Foundation Undergraduate Research Participant, 1962.

Contribution 2967 George M. Whitesides Gates and Crellin Laboratories of Chemistry California Institute of Technology Jesse L. Beauchamp¹² Pasadena, California John D. Roberts

RECEIVED JULY 1, 1963